

Pauline Larson sold much of what they owned to pay their medical bills because they take their responsibilities seriously. It's time for this Senate to take seriously its responsibility—to find solutions to reduce the cost of health care and the number of Americans without health insurance.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. REID. I ask unanimous consent that the time allotted under the previous unanimous consent agreement for the Democrats be divided 10 minutes to the Senator from Iowa, Mr. HARKIN, 5 minutes to the Senator from New York, Mr. SCHUMER. Under the previous unanimous consent agreement that had been entered into we have time set aside for Senator LEVIN of 10 minutes. Senator LEVIN will not come. I ask unanimous consent that Senator REED of Rhode Island be inserted in his place.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. CORNYN. Reserving the right to object, I am sorry, I was otherwise distracted.

Mr. REID. The Senator does not need to worry. Everything is under control.

Mr. CORNYN. That is what I was afraid of. I want to make sure, are we pushing back morning business?

Mr. REID. No. Morning business is going to proceed, but because of leader time and the prayer and the pledge, morning business did not start until a few minutes later. So the Democrats will now have 15 minutes for morning business and following that we will go into the 2 hours of debate.

Mr. CORNYN. I thank the Senator very much.

Mr. REID. All I was doing is stating that Senator LEVIN will not be here. Senator JACK REED is going to take his place.

Mr. CORNYN. No objection.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. HARKIN. I understand I have 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. That is correct.

CLASSIFIED LEAK INVESTIGATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, today we observe a sad milestone in the scandal and tragedy that some have labeled "leakgate." It has been exactly 1 year, July 14, since two senior White House officials leaked Valerie Plame's identity as a covert operative at the Central Intelligence Agency.

Last July 14, 2003, 8 days after Ms. Plame's husband published an op-ed in the New York Times which questioned information in the President's 2003 State of the Union message regarding a supposed effort by Iraq to purchase uranium from Africa, her identity was

revealed in print by columnist Robert Novak. This illegal act should have outraged everyone at the White House. It should have moved President Bush immediately to demand the identity of the perpetrators.

Instead, in his only public statement about this act of betrayal, Mr. Bush smiled—yes, he smiled—and said:

This is a town that likes to leak. I don't know if we are going to find out the senior administration official. Now, this is a large administration, and there's a lot of senior officials. I don't have any idea.

Again, he said it with kind of a smirk and a wry smile on his face.

I consider that statement to be disingenuous. The number of senior White House officials with the appropriate clearances and access to knowledge about Ms. Plame's identity can probably be counted on one hand, two at the most. If Mr. Bush was serious about identifying the perpetrators, those officials could have been summoned to the Oval Office and this matter would have been resolved in 24 hours.

Now, we are not talking about some little thing happening. This is an illegal action under the law.

Mr. Bush did not question his staff in the Oval Office. There was no outrage at the White House. There were no internal investigations. There was no angry President Bush demanding answers from his senior aides. There was only a cavalier dismissal, followed by a year of virtual silence.

Three decades ago, a previous occupant of the Oval Office, President Nixon, was recorded on audiotape saying to a senior White House official:

I don't give an [expletive] what happens. I want you to stonewall it, let them plead the Fifth Amendment, cover up or anything else, if it'll save it, save this plan. That's the whole point. We're going to protect our people if we can.

That was Richard Nixon almost 30 years ago. This White House has now delayed any accountability for this damaging and illegal leak for a full year. White House officials who committed this act of treachery presumably are still exercising decision-making power.

Who is the White House protecting? Why? Do we now have a modern day Richard Nixon back in the White House?

And what was the cost of exposing Ms. Plame? Not only her job. As Vincent Cannistraro, former Chief of Operations and Analysis at the CIA Counterterrorism Center, told us:

The consequences are much greater than Valerie Plame's job as a clandestine CIA employee. They include damage to the lives and livelihoods of many foreign nationals with whom she was connected, and it has destroyed a clandestine cover mechanism that may have been used to protect other CIA nonofficial cover officers.

Valerie Plame's cover was blown to discredit and retaliate against her husband Joseph Wilson. The recent report by the Senate Intelligence Committee provides some insight. It states that back in 2002 when the CIA was search-

ing for someone with connections to Niger to find out about a possible purchase or attempt to purchase uranium by Iraq, she suggested that her husband, former Ambassador Wilson, go as a factfinder. Mr. WILSON was sent there. He reported the claim's lack of credibility to the CIA.

Later that year, the President was to give a speech in Cincinnati mentioning the claim. On October 6, CIA Director Tenet personally called Deputy National Security Adviser Stephen Hadley to outline the CIA's concerns that this claim was not real. And it was then deleted from the President's Cincinnati speech.

Between October 2002 and January 2003, concerns about the claim increased. In January, the State Department sent an e-mail to the CIA outlining "the reasoning why the uranium purchase agreement is probably a hoax."

Here is the troubling aspect: The same official, Stephen Hadley, who spoke with George Tenet and took the claim out of the October speech in Cincinnati, was also in charge of vetting the State of the Union Address. Amazing. If he knew it was a problem and took it out in October, why was it put in for the State of the Union message?

A lot of questions need to be answered. Mr. Bush seemingly does not want to know the identity of the leakers. The White House occupies a small area. The number of employees who are suspect in this matter is small. This should not be like trying to find nonexistent weapons of mass destruction in Iraq.

One year has passed. Perhaps the President and others have already told Special Prosecutor Fitzgerald who is responsible. Perhaps that has happened. If not, I believe it is clear that the President and the Vice President should be put under oath. They need to tell the special prosecutor and the American public who committed these acts. They should be put under oath, questioned, and filmed. Remember, this happened just a few years ago when another President, President Clinton, was put under oath and questioned by the special prosecutor, on film, which we witnessed right here on the Senate floor.

Also, by putting the President and the Vice President under oath and questioning them as they should be questioned, it sends another powerful message to the people of this country: No President, no Vice President, is above the law. President Clinton was not above the law. This President should not be above the law.

I call upon the special prosecutor: Put the President under oath. Put the Vice President under oath. Question them about their knowledge of this incident and let's get this matter cleared up. Find those responsible and prosecute them to the full extent of the law.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New York.